# **Research Article**





# Endothelial cells adopt a pro-reparative immune responsive signature during cardiac injury

Hali Long<sup>1,2</sup>, Jeffrey D Steimle<sup>2</sup>, Francisco Jose Grisanti Canozo<sup>2</sup>, Jong Hwan Kim<sup>2,3</sup>, Xiao Li<sup>3</sup>, Yuka Morikawa<sup>3</sup>, Minjun Park<sup>2</sup>, Diwakar Turaga<sup>4</sup>, Iki Adachi<sup>5</sup>, Joshua D Wythe<sup>2,6</sup>, Md Abul Hassan Samee<sup>2</sup>, James F Martin<sup>1,2,3,6,7</sup>

Modulation of the heart's immune microenvironment is crucial for recovery after ischemic events such as myocardial infarction (MI). Endothelial cells (ECs) can have immune regulatory functions; however, interactions between ECs and the immune environment in the heart after MI remain poorly understood. We identified an EC-specific IFN responsive and immune regulatory gene signature in adult and pediatric heart failure (HF) tissues. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of murine hearts subjected to MI uncovered an EC population (IFN-ECs) with immunologic gene signatures similar to those in human HF. IFN-ECs were enriched in regenerative-stage mouse hearts and expressed genes encoding immune responsive transcription factors (Irf7, Batf2, and Stat1). Single-cell chromatin accessibility studies revealed an enrichment of these TF motifs at IFN-EC signature genes. Expression of immune regulatory ligand genes by IFN-ECs suggests bidirectional signaling between IFN-ECs and macrophages in regenerative-stage hearts. Our data suggest that ECs may adopt immune regulatory signatures after cardiac injury to accompany the reparative response. The presence of these signatures in human HF and murine MI models suggests a potential role for EC-mediated immune regulation in responding to stress induced by acute injury in MI and chronic adverse remodeling in HF.

**DOI** 10.26508/lsa.202201870 | Received 9 December 2022 | Revised 11 November 2023 | Accepted 14 November 2023 | Published online 27 November 2023

# Introduction

Heart disease, the leading cause of mortality in the world, results from irreversible cardiomyocyte loss after tissue damage such as ischemic injury (Roth et al, 2020; Virani et al, 2021). Extensive basic, translational, and clinical research efforts have been dedicated to developing therapies to combat heart disease. To date, however, no available therapy can permanently restore cardiac function after acute or chronic ischemia. Improved understanding of the basic cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the cardiac injury response may reveal novel therapeutic targets for treating heart disease.

In the 1st wk of life, neonatal mice can achieve full cardiac functional recovery after acute injury, such as coronary artery occlusion or apex resection; however, this transient regenerative capacity is lost after postnatal day seven (Porrello et al, 2011). The loss of regenerative potential is because of a decrease in cardiomyocyte proliferation and the subsequent formation of a fibrotic scar as an imperfect and poorly functional replacement (Song et al, 2012; Porrello et al, 2013; Senyo et al, 2013; Chong et al, 2014; Kong et al, 2014; van Berlo & Molkentin, 2014; Foglia & Poss, 2016; Travers et al, 2016; Deshmukh et al, 2019). Although most research efforts have focused on cardiomyocyte replenishment as the therapeutic remedy for combating heart disease, recent studies have uncovered a critical role for noncardiomyocytes in modulating the local environment to support regeneration (Aurora et al, 2014; Lavine et al, 2014).

The cardiac injury response is a highly dynamic and complex multicellular process in which noncardiomyocyte cell types such as immune cells and ECs play crucial roles to aid in recovery of the ischemic heart (Aurora et al, 2014; Lavine et al, 2014; Bajpai et al, 2018, 2019; Liao et al, 2018; Nahrendorf, 2018; Das et al, 2019; Dick et al, 2019; Lai et al, 2019). During acute myocardial infarction (MI), different cell types including cardiomyocytes, cardiac fibroblasts, and ECs promote changes in the cardiac immune environment to facilitate repair (Rainer et al, 2014; Frieler & Mortensen, 2015). The immune environment during the cardiac injury response is essential for debris clearance, inflammation resolution, and angiogenesis (Lai et al, 2019). Although studies on ECs have largely focused on their roles in angiogenesis and vascularization (Marin-Juez et al, 2016; Ingason et al, 2018; Das et al, 2019; Lu et al, 2021), ECs can also modulate their local immune environment (Seternes et al, 2002; Ding et al, 2012; Wohlleber & Knolle, 2016; Qiu et al, 2018; Amersfoort et al, 2022). Improved understanding of the molecular

<sup>1</sup>Interdepartmental Program in Integrative Molecular and Biomedical Sciences, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Integrative Physiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>3</sup>Cardiomyocyte Renewal Laboratory, The Texas Heart Institute, Houston, TX, USA <sup>4</sup>Section of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>5</sup>Section of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>6</sup>Cardiovascular Research Institute, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>7</sup>Center for Organ Repair and Renewal, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA <sup>1</sup>SA

Correspondence: jfmartin@bcm.edu

regulation of ECs and immune modulation during the cardiac injury response is essential for effective therapeutic development against heart disease.

Here, we provide evidence of an EC-specific immune regulatory signature in chronic human heart disease obtained by performing imaging mass cytometry (IMC) of pediatric heart failure (HF) tissues and computational analysis of adult HF single-cell transcriptomic datasets. To further dissect the role of EC-mediated immune regulation in the cardiac injury response, we performed single-cell profiling of regenerative and nonregenerative murine MI models. In regenerative-stage hearts, we observed EC-specific transcriptomic changes associated with cell proliferation, the IFN response, and immune regulation. Furthermore, we identified distinct subpopulations of ECs enriched for these gene signatures. Binding motifs of IFN-responsive transcription factors (TFs), IRF7, BATF2, and STAT1, were enriched near accessible chromatin regions of immune regulatory genes, highlighting potential transcriptional regulators of EC immune regulatory function during the cardiac injury response. These findings support that EC proliferation and immune cell crosstalk occur in response to IFN signaling during the cardiac injury response. The observation of these features in both human HF and murine MI models suggests that the immune regulatory function of ECs may be involved in resolving cardiac stress induced by both acute injury in MI and adverse remodeling in chronic HF.

### Results

# Human heart failure tissues exhibit EC-specific immune regulatory signatures

Previous studies have uncovered associations between immuneresponse genes (such as PD-L1, CD73, MHC-I, and IRF8) and human heart diseases including cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, and atherosclerosis (Fig 1A) (Hennecke & Wiley, 2001; St Hilaire et al, 2011; Leonard et al, 2013; Meder et al, 2014; Johnson et al, 2016; Esfahani et al, 2019; Bracamonte-Baran et al, 2021). Moreover, recent findings of decreased macrophage proliferation in human adult and pediatric heart disease tissues suggest immune inhibitory signaling from cardiac cells in response to injury and stress (Hill et al, 2022; Koenig et al, 2022). To identify potential cell types that engage with the immune microenvironment in human heart disease, we performed IMC on pediatric HF samples. Tissue samples were collected from four pediatric patients with end-stage HF who were undergoing either ventricular assist device placement or heart transplantation (Hill et al, 2022). Patient diagnoses included hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy and chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy (Fig 1B and Table S1). A panel of 23 markers, including proteins associated with immune cells, vessels, extracellular matrix, and immune inhibitory signaling molecules, was used to spatially resolve the cellular organization of these tissue samples (Table S2). IMC revealed the expression of PD-L1 and CD73, which functions in immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory signaling, in vessels with perivascular macrophage infiltration (Figs 1C and S1A) (Chen et al, 2018; Eichin et al, 2021). This observation supports the hypothesis that ECs express anti-inflammatory

signals that may dampen the local inflammatory microenvironment in pediatric HF.

To investigate whether EC-specific immune regulatory signatures exist in adult HF tissues, we reanalyzed published single-cell datasets from heart tissues of patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), congestive HF or no HF (Calcagno et al, 2020; Wang et al, 2020). Our analysis revealed that CD274 (PD-L1) was enriched in adult heart failure tissues compared with non-HF tissues (Figs 1D and S1F and G). Because the expression of PD-L1 and CD73 on ECs is associated with type 1 and type 2 IFN signaling in other contexts (Lucas et al, 2018; Eichin et al, 2021), we developed an IFNstimulated gene (ISG) score to identify cells transcriptionally responsive to IFN stimulus (Fig S1D). The ISG score is determined using several well-characterized and canonical targets of IFN signaling, including, BST2, IRF7, and STAT2. We found that ECs from HF tissues had higher ISG scores than ECs from non-HF tissues (Figs 1D and S1E-G; Table S3). These data show that ECs express IFN and immune regulatory signatures to interact with the cardiac immune environment in both pediatric and adult human HF. Together, these findings suggest that EC-mediated immune regulation may play a role during the stress response to chronic inflammation and adverse remodeling in human HF.

#### Single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals an immuneresponsive gene signature in endothelial cells from regenerative hearts after myocardial infarction

To investigate the role of EC-mediated immune regulation in facilitating cardiac repair, we used a murine model of regenerative and nonregenerative ischemic injury induced by left anterior descending artery occlusion (LAD-O) (Porrello et al, 2011). LAD-O was performed at postnatal day one (P1MI, regenerative stage) or eight (P8MI, nonregenerative stage), and cells were isolated from the left ventricle 4 d later at P5 or P12, respectively (Fig 2A). We then performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on the isolated cells to compare the transcriptomes between regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage neonatal mouse hearts at single-cell resolution. After discarding low-quality cells and doublets (see the Materials and Methods section and Table S4), we identified 11,333 cells that were separated into nine distinct clusters based on gene expression (Figs 2B and S2A and B). We manually annotated these clusters using well-defined gene expression signatures (Table S5) and identified cells corresponding to ECs, cardiomyocytes, cardiac fibroblasts, macrophages, T cells, pericytes, epicardial cells, endocardial cells, and smooth muscle cells (SMCs).

Next, we explored EC-specific differences between the transcriptomes of regenerative- (P1MI) and nonregenerative-stage (P8MI) mouse hearts (Fig S2C and Table S6). We identified 1,728 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (adjusted *P*-value < 0.01, log<sub>2</sub> fold change  $\geq$  0.2) that were more highly expressed in regenerativestage ECs than in nonregenerative-stage ECs (Table S6). To investigate potential pathways activated during cardiac repair, we performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis using the 50 most significant DEGs (Ge et al, 2020). GO analysis revealed gene sets associated with cell proliferation (e.g., *Top2a, Ccnd1*), antigen presentation (e.g.,  $\beta 2m$ , H2-Q4), the IFN response (e.g., *ligp1*, *lfitm3*), and immune processes (e.g., *Serpina3g*, *Lgals9*) (Figs 2C and S2C and



C Immune Regulatory Signatures in Pediatric Human CM







Table S6). These data reveal a novel EC-specific immune responsive gene signature that was not identified previously in the single-cell profiling data of regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage hearts (Wang et al, 2020). Taken together, our findings reveal that transcriptomic differences between regenerative- and nonregenerativestage ECs are associated with cell proliferation, antigen presentation, the IFN response, and immune processes.

# Cardiac EC-specific transcriptomic profiling reveals distinct EC states with cell cycle and IFN response signatures

To further study the transcriptomic heterogeneity of ECs from regenerative-stage and nonregenerative-stage hearts in greater detail, we performed scRNA-seq of ECs (CD31<sup>+</sup>) enriched from murine hearts injured during regenerative (P1MI) and nonregenerative stages (P8MI) using magnetic-activated sorting (MACs) (Fig 2A and see the Materials and Methods section). After filtering out lowquality cells and doublets (see the Materials and Methods section), we detected 21,414 cells that were clustered into 10 unique CD31<sup>+</sup> subpopulations (Fig 2D). The 10 distinct clusters were transcriptionally heterogeneous (Fig S2D, Table S7). Using established marker gene expression (Schiller et al, 2019; Goveia et al, 2020; Kalucka et al, 2020; Travaglini et al, 2020; Schupp et al, 2021), we identified arterial EC, capillary EC, capillary venous EC, venous EC, angiogenic EC, lymphatic EC, and endocardial cell clusters (Fig S3A and Table S7). In addition, we identified three specialized EC clusters (Figs 2D and S2D, Table S7).

To further explore the characteristics of the three specialized EC clusters, we identified the DEGs that distinguish the three clusters (adjusted P < 0.01,  $\log_2$  fold change  $\geq$  1.5). We also examined the enriched GO terms for each cluster (Fig 2E, Table S7). All three clusters were enriched with distinct cell cycle IFN-response signatures (e.g., ligp1, lfitm3) that corresponded with the genes more highly expressed in regenerative-stage than in nonregenerativestage ECs from our previous whole heart dataset (Figs 2C and 3A, Table S6). Two of the clusters were enriched in cell cycle processes and were therefore annotated as proliferating EC clusters ProEC-1 and ProEC-2 (Fig S3A and Table S7). Cell cycle analysis of ProEC-1 and ProEC-2 cells revealed an enrichment of cells in S or G2M phases, respectively (Fig S3B). In addition, ProEC-1 and ProEC-2 were most closely associated with angiogenic ECs, which is consistent with EC proliferation observed during angiogenesis and vessel growth in regenerating hearts (Schaper, 1996; Dor & Keshet, 1997; Ware & Simons, 1997; Das et al, 2019). The remaining specialized EC cluster, which appeared similar to capillary ECs, showed specific enrichment of IFN-γ signaling (e.g., *ligp1*, *lfitm3*) and antigen-presentation pathways (e.g., H2-D1, H2-K1, Psmb8) and was termed the IFN-EC cluster (Figs 2E and 3B, and Table S7). RNA-scope imaging showing RNA expression of ligp1 in ECs of regenerative-stage heart tissue

supports observations from both scRNA-seq datasets (Fig 3C). Furthermore, the IFN-EC cluster featured higher expression of IFNassociated TFs such as *Batf2*, *Irf7*, *Irf8*, *Irf9*, *Stat1*, and *Stat2* than did most other EC populations (Fig 3D). RNA-scope imaging of EC-specific *Stat1* RNA expression in regenerative-stage hearts supports this observation (Fig 3E).

We next used the CD31+-enriched datasets and compared cell composition between regenerative-stage (P1MI) and nonregenerative-stage (P8MI) mouse hearts after ischemic injury. The Pro-EC and IFN-EC populations were significantly enriched in regenerative-stage hearts (P < 0.001, log fold difference > 1; Fig S3C and D, Table S7). Therefore, consistent with our scRNA-seq dataset revealing an up-regulation of cell cycle, IFN response, and immune regulatory genes in the ECs of regenerative-stage hearts versus nonregenerative-stage hearts after ischemic injury (Figs 2C and 3A), we highlight distinct EC subpopulations with these gene signatures (Fig 3B). Our data also suggest that the unique IFN-EC cluster, in addition to the two proliferating EC clusters, may be important for the regenerative response after MI.

# IFN-responsive TF binding motif networks are enriched at IFN-EC genes

To map the chromatin accessibility landscape in regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts under ischemic stress, we performed single-nuclei assay for transposase-accessible chromatin followed by single-nuclei ATAC sequencing (snATAC-seq) on nuclei isolated from regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts after LAD-O (P1MI and P8MI) (Fig 2A). After removing low-quality nuclei (see the Materials and Methods section and Table S3), 37,881 nuclei remained, with 120,401 accessible chromatin regions identified. Using scRNA-seq data to inform cluster identification (Fig 2B) in the snATAC-seq data, we identified nine clusters representing ECs, CMs, cardiac fibroblasts, macrophages, T cells, pericytes, epicardial cells, endocardial cells, and SMCs (Figs 4A and S4A).

To explore potential EC-specific changes in chromatin accessibility, we also performed snATAC-seq on CD31-enriched cells from regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts harvested 4 d after injury at P1 and P8 (Fig 2A). A total of 11,289 cells with 100,155 open chromatin regions were obtained. Label transferring from the scRNA-seq data (Fig 2C) identified and annotated three distinct clusters as EC, EndoC, and lymphatic endothelial cell (LEC) (Figs 4B and S4B).

We investigated whether chromatin accessibility differences underlie the difference in gene expression between regenerativeand nonregenerative-stage ECs. Although we did not observe significant chromatin accessibility differences (adjusted P < 0.01,  $log_2$  fold change  $\geq 0.5$ ) associated with IFN-EC DEGs, such as *ligp1* 

#### Figure 1. IFN-responsive and immune regulatory signaling by endothelial cells in human heart failure tissues.

Human heart failure tissues exhibit EC-specific immune regulatory signatures. (A) Table of genes implicated in human heart disease. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin (left) and trichrome (right) staining of cardiac tissue from a pediatric patient diagnosed with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). (B, C) Imaging mass cytometry showing the expression of the selected markers in pediatric DCM cardiac tissue shown in panel (B). The lower panel is a zoomed-in view of the region inside the dashed box in the upper panel. Arrows indicate the expression of PD-L1 (blue) and CD73 (green) in vessels with nearby macrophage infiltration. (D) Dot plot showing the IFN-stimulated gene score and expression of IFN response and immune regulatory gene signatures in cardiac endothelial cells (ECs) from adult patients with DCM or congestive heart failure compared with those from normal donors.



# Figure 2. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of all cardiac cells and CD31+-enriched cells from regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage murine hearts after myocardial infarction (MI).

scRNA-seq of ECs reveal a subpopulation enriched with immune responsive signatures. (A) Schematic showing the experimental design for the scRNA-seq and singlenuclei ATAC sequencing analysis of regenerative mouse hearts (MI at P1 and harvested at P5) and nonregenerative mouse hearts (MI at P8 and harvested at P12). (B) UMAP representation of cardiac cell clusters identified from scRNA-seq analysis. Clusters were color coded according to cell types (left). CM, cardiomyocytes; EC, endothelial cells; CF, fibroblasts; PeriC, pericytes; SMC, smooth muscle cells; EndoC, endocardial cells; EpiC, epicardial cells; MF, macrophages; TC, T-cells. (C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of differentially expressed genes up-regulated in ECs of regenerative-stage hearts versus nonregenerative-stage hearts after MI. (D) UMAP representation of CD31+-enriched cell clusters from single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), color coded according to cell type. CEC, capillary endothelial cell; Angio-EC, angiogenic endothelial cell; Pro-EC1, proliferative endothelial cell 1; Pro-EC2, proliferative endothelial cell; 2; IFN-EC, interferon capillary endothelial cell; CVEC, capillary venous endothelial cell; VEC, venous endothelial cell; AEC, arterial endothelial cell; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; EndoC, endocardial cell; EG Gene ontology (GO) analysis of differentially expressed genes up-regulated in the IFN-EC cluster of regenerative-stage hearts versus nonregenerative-stage hearts after MI.

and H2-D1 (Fig S4C-F and Table S6), changes in chromatin accessibility do not always accompany changes in gene expression. This has been seen in some contexts of IFN signaling in which other mechanisms of

regulation, such as histone modifications, may play a role (Hogan et al, 2017; Kamada et al, 2018; Hota et al, 2022; Platanitis et al, 2022). Because gene expression is regulated by the combinatorial binding of TFs to



Figure 3. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals an immune responsive gene signature in endothelial cells from regenerative-stage mouse hearts after myocardial infarction (MI).

Single-cell RNA sequencing of ECs reveal a subpopulation enriched with immune responsive signatures. (A) Violin plots showing expression levels of the indicated genes in endothelial cells (ECs) from single-cell RNA sequencing data of all cardiac cells. (B) Violin plots showing expression levels of indicated genes in the CD31<sup>+</sup> cell cluster. (C) Representative RNA-scope images showing RNA expression of the indicated genes in ECs of regenerative-stage P1MI (left) and nonregenerative-stage P8MI (right) hearts. (D) Dot plot showing gene expression levels of the indicated transcription factors in each CD31<sup>+</sup> cell cluster. (E) Representative RNA-scope images showing RNA expression of the indicated transcription factors in ECs of regenerative-stage P1MI (left) and nonregenerative-stage P8MI (right) hearts.

accessible chromatin (Felsenfeld et al, 1996; Thurman et al, 2012; Tsompana & Buck, 2014; Klemm et al, 2019), we focused on the TF motifs in accessible chromatin that may be regulating transcription. We first developed a normalized motif score (NMS) for every gene using the three classes of TFs that were enriched in the IFN-EC cluster (Fig 3D), namely the IRFs, BATFs, and STATs (see the Materials and Methods section). When applying the NMS for the IRFs, BATFs, and STATs to the IFN-EC marker genes, we found that the NMS was significantly higher for all three TF families at IFN-EC marker genes than at other expressed genes (Fig 4C and Table S8). RNA-scope imaging of cardiac tissue from mice with the heterozygous knockout of *BATF2* (*BATF<sup>+/-</sup>*) suggest a decrease in *ligp1* and *Stat1* (IFN-EC genes) RNA expression (Fig 4D and E).

Together, these data suggest that IRFs, BATFs, and STATs may be involved with the transcription of IFN-EC genes.

We then used network analysis to identify DNA-binding motifs of all TF superclasses that co-occur with IRF motifs within accessible chromatin regions of IFN-EC marker genes (Fig S4G). Spectral network analysis classified the immunoglobulin fold superclass, basic domain class, and helix-turn-helix domain class TFs, which includes the STATs, BATFs, and IRFs, respectively, as members of the same community for IFN-EC marker genes, that is, these factors co-occur in more similar patterns (Fig S4E). However, STATs and BATFs no longer formed communities with IRFs within the accessible chromatin regions at other expressed genes, supporting that the co-occurrence of TFs enriched in



#### Figure 4. Single-nuclei chromatin accessibility profiling of cardiac cells from regenerativeand nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts after myocardial infarction (MI).

Single-nuclei ATAC sequencing (snATAC-seq) of FCs reveal potential transcription factor regulators of IFN-EC gene signature. (A) UMAP representation of cardiac cell clusters from snATAC-seq data. Clusters are color coded according to cell type. EC, endothelial cells; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; EndoC, endocardial cells. (B) UMAP representation of CD31<sup>+</sup> cell clusters from snATAC-seq data. Clusters are color coded according to the cell type. (C) Normalized motif score plots showing the occurrence of binding motifs for the indicated transcription factors correlated with chromatin regions of IFN-EC differentially expressed (DE) differentially expressed genes versus non-differentially expressed genes. (D) Representative RNAscope images showing Stat1 RNA expression in ECs of BATF\*/- knockout mouse hearts. (E) Representative RNA-scope images showing ligp1 RNA expression in ECs of BATF\*/- knockout mouse hearts.

IFN-ECs (i.e., BATF2, IRF7/8/9, and STAT1/2) at accessible chromatin regions of IFN-EC marker genes may be important for their transcription.

# IFN-ECs express genes encoding immune regulatory ligands during cardiac regeneration

Because IFN-ECs appear to be involved in immune signaling like the ECs in human HF tissues (Fig 1), we investigated the ligands expressed by IFN-ECs. By used the immune cells captured during CD31 enrichment, we characterized the signaling networks between ECs and immune cells of regenerative- and nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts after ischemic injury (Fig S5A and B). Examination of the IFN-EC marker genes revealed several signaling ligands with corresponding receptors on immune cells (Fig S5C and D). In IFN-ECs, enriched ligands included Bst2, Cd274, Cxcl9, Lgals9, H2-T22, H2-T24, and C4a (Fig 5A). Bst2, Cd274, and Lgals9, which are ligands for BST2, PD-L1, and GALECTIN signaling pathways, respectively, are related to type I IFN signaling and have immunosuppressive functions (Cao et al, 2009; Wu et al, 2014; Chen et al, 2018). Some receptors for the IFN-EC-enriched ligands, specifically Pira2, C3ar1, Cd44, and Havcr2 (receptors for Bst2, C4a, and Lgals9, respectively), are expressed on macrophages (Fig 5B). In addition, some receptors for the IFN-EC-enriched ligands, specifically Cxcr3, Pdcd1, and Cd8a/Cd8b1 (receptors for Cxcl9, Cd274, H2-T22, and T2-T24, respectively), are expressed on T cells (Fig 5B).

As a secondary approach, we used CellChat to identify ligandreceptor interactions between IFN-ECs and other cardiac cell types that were enriched in regenerative-stage mouse hearts compared with nonregenerative-stage hearts (Fig S5E and F) (Jin et al, 2021). Our analysis revealed that BST2 signaling, which is related to type I IFN signaling and inhibits the production of IFNs and pro-inflammatory cytokines from immune cells, is increased between IFN-ECs and macrophages in regenerative-stage hearts (P1MI) compared with nonregenerative-stage hearts (P8MI) (Fig 5C) (Cao et al, 2009). We also found that the CD39 signaling pathway, which is implicated in immunosuppression in cancer and myocardial protection after ischemic injury, is preferentially enriched between IFN-ECs and immune cells in regenerative-stage mouse hearts (P1MI) and signals from macrophages to IFN-ECs (Figs 5C and S5F) (Köhler et al, 2007; Saldanha-Araujo et al, 2011; Wheeler et al, 2012; Allard et al, 2017). These findings highlight transcriptomic changes associated with immunosuppressive signaling from ECs in regenerative-stage hearts.

# Discussion

The balance between activation and attenuation of inflammation during the injury response is critical for cardiac regeneration (Steffens et al, 2022). In human HF tissues, we uncovered an ECspecific IFN response and immune regulatory signature. IFN response and immune regulatory signatures in ECs have been described across different organs, but not in the context of cardiac regeneration after ischemic injury (Seternes et al, 2002; Qiu et al, 2018; Goveia et al, 2020; Kalucka et al, 2020; Amersfoort



D Hypothetical Model of IFN-induced Immune Regulation by ECs in Cardiac Injury



#### Figure 5. Ligand-receptor analysis of CD31-enriched cells from regenerative-stage and nonregenerative-stage mouse hearts after myocardial infarction (MI).

FC subpopulations express genes encoding immune regulatory ligands. (A) Dot plot showing the indicated ligands enriched in the IEN-endothelial cell (EC) cluster. (B) Dot plot showing immune cell expression of receptors for ligands expressed in the IFN-EC cluster. (C) Chord plots showing the indicated signaling pathway network between the IFN-EC cluster and macrophages from regenerative-stage and nonregenerativestage mouse hearts after MI. CEC, capillary endothelial cell; CAEC, capillary arterial endothelial cell: AEC. arterial endothelial cell; CVEC, capillary venous endothelial cell; VEC, venous endothelial cell; IFN-EC, interferon capillary endothelial cell; angio-EC, angiogenic endothelial cell; Pro-EC1, proliferative endothelial cell 1; Pro-EC2, proliferative endothelial cell 2; Pro-EC3, proliferative endothelial cell 13: Pro-EC4. proliferative endothelial cell 4; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; BC, B-cells; TC, T-cells; MF1, macrophage 1; MF2, macrophage 2; EndoC, endocardial cells. (D) Hypothetical model of IFN-induced immune regulation by ECs in cardiac injury.

et al, 2022). Using single-cell transcriptomic profiling of cardiac ECs from regenerative-stage mouse hearts subjected to cardiac injury, we identified a subpopulation of capillary ECs, namely IFN-ECs, that showed a gene expression signature similar to that of ECs in human HF tissues (Fig 5D). These data revealed an enrichment of transcripts encoding IFN response TFs and immune regulatory ligands in IFN-ECs. Furthermore, an enrichment of binding motifs for IFN response TFs were enriched in IFN-EC markers genes, suggesting that these TFs may be upstream transcriptional activators of the immune regulatory signatures in ECs (Fig 5D). Together, our data hypothesizes a model in which EC-specific immune regulation be part of a central biologic response to acute and chronic cardiac inflammation induced by MI and HF, respectively (Fig 5D).

The response of the immune system to ischemic cardiac injury has been described as both beneficial in the initial phase and deleterious in the long-term injury response (Rurik et al, 2021). Inflammatory immune cells are important for debris clearance in the early stages of cardiac injury but can contribute to chronic inflammation and progressive pathologic remodeling in human HF. Therefore, regulation of the cardiac immune environment to maintain an immunosuppressed state may be an adaptive response in human HF, although ultimately an inadequate one.

Our IMC data of pediatric HF tissue revealed expression of CD274 (PD-L1) and CD73 (part of the CD39/CD73 signaling pathway) in ECs with immune infiltration. scRNA-seq analysis of adult HF tissues revealed a similar enrichment in ECs of *CD274* compared with those of donor tissues. Furthermore, this signature was accompanied by

the expression of IFN-responsive TFs *IRF7*, *STAT2*, and *BST2*. Previous studies have shown that the inhibition of PD-L1 (CD274) signaling to treat cancer leads to subsequent myocarditis in humans, which can be alleviated with immunosuppression (Johnson et al, 2016; Mahmood et al, 2018; Moslehi et al, 2018; Salem et al, 2018; Esfahani et al, 2019). Furthermore, the expression of PD-L1 is important for engraftment after transplantation (Bracamonte-Baran et al, 2021). Mutations in *NT5E*, which encodes CD73, have been identified in patients with arterial calcification, an indicator of cardiovascular risk and inflammation (Lehto et al, 1996; Shaw et al, 2003; St Hilaire et al, 2011). These data, in addition to our findings, suggest that immunosuppressive signaling via PD-L1 and CD39/CD73 pathways by ECs may limit the deleterious effects of adverse inflammation in HF.

The datasets we obtained from murine models of MI revealed a gene expression signature characterized by the up-regulation of cell cycle, IFN response, and immune regulatory signatures in regenerative-stage hearts, suggesting that EC-mediated immune regulation, in addition to EC proliferation, is related to cardiac regeneration. Importantly, the up-regulation of immune responsive genes in regenerative-stage hearts may be attributed to a specific EC subpopulation, IFN-ECs. Our multi-omic analysis revealed the enrichment of transcripts and binding motifs of IFN response TFs, such as certain IRFs, BATFs, and STATs, in IFN-ECs and in regenerative-stage hearts, suggesting that these IFN response TF families may regulate the gene expression of IFN-EC marker genes. Batf2 expression is related to type 1 and type 2 IFN signaling, modulates the immune response, and has been linked to Irf activity in immune cells (Schraml et al, 2009; Betz et al, 2010; Ise et al, 2011; Li et al, 2012; Jabeen et al, 2013; Roy et al, 2015; Matatall et al, 2016). Irf7, 8, and 9 are important for immune regulation and are protective against various cardiac pathologies (Biron, 2001; Lohoff & Mak, 2005; Tamura et al, 2008; Döring et al, 2012; Jiang et al, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c; Zhang et al, 2014; McNab et al, 2015). STAT1 and STAT2 have been shown to bind IRF9 to form the trimer complex ISGF3. which binds the IFN-stimulated response element and induces transcription of genes such as Irf7 (Honda & Taniguchi, 2006; Au-Yeung et al, 2013; Cheon et al, 2013; McComb et al, 2014). Importantly, we found IRF7 to be enriched in ECs from adult HF tissues. Notably, gene variants in IRF8 have been identified as risk factors for coronary heart disease (Leonard et al, 2013). These findings suggest that IFNresponse TFs, which are typically active in immune cells, may regulate the transcription of a gene expression program promoting the IFN response and immune regulation in ECs.

Our analysis revealed that multiple cardiac EC populations express ligands involved in immune regulatory pathways. Studies have shown that LECs and venous ECs can participate in immune regulatory signaling with immune cells (Lee et al, 2014; Takeda et al, 2019; Brulois et al, 2020; Xiang et al, 2020). Furthermore, LECs can signal to macrophages, T cells, and dendritic cells via *Ccl21*, a lymphoid homing chemokine (Nagira et al, 1997; Willimann et al, 1998; Saeki et al, 1999; Luther et al, 2000; Shields et al, 2010; Card et al, 2014). Venous ECs have gene expression signatures associated with leukocyte recruitment and chemokine signaling (Thiriot et al, 2017; Goveia et al, 2020; Kalucka et al, 2020; Schupp et al, 2021). We found that the capillary EC subpopulation of IFN-ECs also expressed immune modulatory ligand genes such as *Bst2, Cd274, CD39*, and

H2-T22. H2-T22 and H2-T24 are part of the MHC Class I family and are important for signaling to T cells (Hennecke & Wiley, 2001). Genes encoding MHC Class I and II proteins have been associated with a risk locus for DCM (Meder et al, 2014). Increased Bst2, Cd274, and CD39/CD73 signaling in regenerative stage hearts is consistent with our hypothesis that the EC-specific IFN response and immune regulation may mediate the immune in human HF and cardiac regeneration.

In conclusion, our findings reveal a novel role for ECs in immune regulation in human HF and in mice after cardiac ischemic injury (Fig 5D). Our single-cell sequencing datasets can be used for further study into EC function, signaling pathways, and transcriptional regulation that may be related to heart repair. Future studies are needed to understand how ECs interact with immune components during the reparative process after cardiac injury and during human HF. Further elucidating the role of ECs in regulating the immune response to cardiac injury in murine and human HF may provide novel insights into cellular mechanisms for alleviating both acute and chronic cardiac inflammation. This will not only provide a better understanding of the underlying biology of human heart disease, but it will pave the way for developing novel therapeutic targets.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Ethics approval for the use of donated tissues

Cardiac tissues and blood samples used in this study were collected during cardiothoracic surgeries performed at Texas Children's Hospital (Houston, Texas). The protocols for the procurement and use of these patient samples were approved by the Institutional Review Board for Baylor College of Medicine and Affiliated Hospitals (Protocol Number H-26502). With the help of the Heart Center Biorepository at Texas Children's Hospital, consent was obtained from patients with various forms of pediatric heart disease, including cardiomyopathies. The anatomic location of the tissue collected was based on the specific surgical repair being performed. This information, along with more specific patient information, can be found in Table S1.

#### Sample collection and preservation

Cardiac tissue and blood samples were collected in the operating room during various pediatric cardiovascular surgeries. Cardiac tissue samples were kept in cold saline on ice during transfer to the laboratory for preservation. Before cardiac bypass was initiated, blood samples were collected into EDTA-coated vacutainers and were then transferred to the laboratory on ice. Cardiac tissue samples were carefully dissected into multiple aliquots, some of which were flash-frozen and stored at -80°C and others of which were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 16–24 h at 4°C. Formalin-fixed samples were serially dehydrated and embedded in paraffin blocks for histology. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were then used to make a tissue microarray (2mm cores) for high-throughput image analysis.

#### Histology

Tissue sections were deparaffinized at 60°C for 1 h and then dewaxed and rehydrated in a graded series of alcohol. For hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, tissue sections were incubated with hematoxylin for 15 min, followed by incubation with acidified Eosin Y for 3 min at RT. The samples were re-paraffinized, dried, and mounted before imaging. Masson's Trichrome staining was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (HT15; Sigma-Aldrich). Images were acquired using the Cytation 5 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (Biotek). Contrast of both H&E and Masson's Trichrome images were enhanced using the auto-contrast function in Adobe Photoshop, with the same contrast settings applied to each image for consistency.

#### IMC

The tissue microarray of FFPE cardiac samples was used for IMC analysis. Tissue sections were warmed at 60°C for 1 h and dewaxed in three separate xylene washes for 10 min each. The tissue sections were then rehydrated in a graded series of alcohol (ethanol: deionized water 100:0, 100:0, 96:4, 90:10, 80:20, 70:30) for 5 min each and then in PBS for 10 min. Epitope retrieval was performed in Tris EDTA retrieval buffer (pH 9; GeneMed) at 95°C for 20 min, after which, the slides were immediately cooled in TBS for 20 min. Samples were blocked in 3% BSA and 10% donkey serum in PBS with Tween (PBST) for 2 h at RT. Incubation with the antibody panel was performed in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Tissue samples were washed twice with TBST and twice with TBS. Slides were incubated with Intercalator-Ir solution for 5 min at RT and washed twice with TBS. The samples were dipped in water and dried before obtaining IMC measurements. The antibody panel targeted functional markers for DNA damage, immune regulation, cell cycle, and phenotypic markers to identify epithelial, endothelial, mesenchymal, and immune cell types. All conjugated antibodies used in this study can be found in Table S7. The Hyperion Imaging System (Fluidigm) was used for IMC image acquisition. The largest square area was selected from the center of each tissue microarray core for laser ablation. Commercial acquisition software (Fluidigm) was used to preprocess raw data and monitor acquisition quality.

#### IMC data analysis

MCD Viewer was used to process and convert data to TIFF format. Images were further enhanced using the median noise and sharpen functions in Adobe Photoshop.

#### ISG analysis

We directly downloaded publicly available human data that were previously processed and annotated (Wang et al, 2020) and performed standard data normalization and visualization using the Seurat software suite. Specifically, we computed 50 principal components (PCs) from the top 2,000 highly variable genes. We then performed batch effect correction on the PC dimension by using RunHarmony function and projected cells on the UMAP dimension for visualization by using RunUMAP. The ISG analysis was based on previously reported ISGs (Schneider et al, 2014; Calcagno et al, 2020). A total of 96 ISGs were included for scoring. A detailed gene list is provided in Table S3. The Seurat "AddModuleScore" function was used to calculate ISG scores. This method scores the expression of ISGs by normalizing expression levels of ISGs against those of randomly selected background genes with similar expression levels. The size of the background gene pool is 10 times that of the input gene set. ISG scores that fit Gaussian distribution across cells were transformed into Z-scores for plotting and statistical significance testing. Differences of ISG scores and PD-L1 expression between control ECs and ECs from patients with DCM or congestive heart failure were tested for significance using the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

#### **Experimental animals**

All murine experiments were performed under the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol number 5713. ICR mice were acquired from the Center for Comparative Medicine at Baylor College of Medicine and used for all surgical experiments. Female mice were set up for timed pregnancy to deliver pups for surgical procedures at postnatal day 1 or 8 (P denotes postnatal day, i.e., days after birth).

#### LAD-O of neonatal mice

Neonatal mice were subjected to MI via LAD-O surgery as previously described (Porrello et al, 2011). Pups were subjected to hypothermic anesthetization before procedures. Feet pinching was used to evaluate proper anesthetization. Nylon sutures (8-0 nonabsorbable) were used for LAD occlusion. Proper occlusion was indicated by blanching of the affected myocardium. The thoracic cavity was closed with vicryl sutures (6-0 absorbable). Duration of the surgery from hypothermic induction to recovery was around 10 min. Sham procedures are identical in all aspects except for occlusion of the LAD. Mice were euthanized 4 d after surgery. Hearts were harvested and digested into single-cell suspensions to be used for single-cell RNA and single-nuclei ATAC sequencing.

# Isolation and enrichment of $\rm CD31^{\star}$ single cells and nuclei from murine cardiac tissue

For scRNA-seq and snATAC-seq, the atria and aorta were removed from the heart, and the remaining tissue was used for single-cell digestion. Cells were harvested at either P5 or P12, from pools of five hearts subjected to MI at P1 or P8, respectively. Tissues were minced into small pieces in digestion buffer (1 mg/ml collagenase A; HBSS) and incubated at 37° C with agitation for 30 min. The suspension was triturated with a 5-ml pipette every 10 min. Proper digestion was verified by the appearance of single cells under a microscope. The digestion was then diluted 1:1 with HBSS, and the resulting cell suspension was centrifuged at 300g for 6 min to remove cardiomyocytes. Supernatant was passed through a 40-micron filter to remove tissue fragments and cardiomyocytes, and then centrifuged at 500g for 6 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of MACs buffer (PBS, 0.5% BSA, 2 mM EDTA). The resuspended cells were

centrifuged at 500*g* for 6 min to remove residual buffer and resuspended in a final volume of 80  $\mu$ l for incubation with 20  $\mu$ l of CD31 MACs beads (Miltenyi Biotech). MACs enrichment for CD31<sup>+</sup> cells was performed according to the Miltenyi enrichment protocol. Live cells were quantified with Trypan Blue and in Cyto C-Chip DHC-F01. For single-nuclei ATAC sequencing, nuclei were isolated from cells according to the 10x protocol. Live nuclei were quantified with DAPI and in Cyto C-Chip DHC-F01.

#### Isolation of single cells and nuclei from murine cardiac tissue

Neonatal hearts were harvested and washed with ice-cold Tyrode's solution. The left ventricle was removed and diced with curved scissors. The tissue was then digested with Collagenase A at 37°C until single-cell dissociation was achieved (~20 min). Dissociated cells were diluted to a concentration of 200 cells per  $\mu$ l in PBS with 0.01% BSA. Drop-seq was then performed as previously described (Macosko et al, 2015). Cells were co-encapsulated into nanoliter-sized droplets containing barcoded microparticles (catalog number Macosko201110; ChemGenes) and lysis buffer using a custom microfluidics device (FlowJEM). After droplet breakage, reverse transcription (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and exonuclease treatment (NEB), all cDNA was PCR-amplified (KAPA), pooled, purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter), and run on a fragment analyzer (Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc.) for quality control, quantification, and size determination. Library preparation was performed with the Illumina Nextera XT kit, and libraries were triple-purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). All libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq500 instrument.

Nuclear isolation was performed as previously described, with the following specifications (Mo et al, 2015). Briefly, fresh cardiac tissue was harvested on ice and was immediately homogenized with a Biogen Series PRO200 (PRO Scientific) before performing Dounce homogenization in HB buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 20 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.8; with protease inhibitors; 1 mM DTT; 0.15 mM spermine; 0.5 mM spermidine, and RNAse inhibitors) with 5% IGEPAL CA-630. Nuclei were isolated via density gradient centrifugation with optiprep density gradient medium after mixing homogenate 1:1 with a 50 iodoxinal (5 vol Optiprep [Cat#D1556; Sigma-Aldrich] with 1 vol diluent [150 mM KCl; 30 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 120 mM Tricine-KOH; pH 7.8]). After centrifugation at 10,000G for 18 min, all nuclei isolated from the 30–40% interface were recovered and washed before performing snATAC-seq.

#### scRNA and snATAC sequencing

scRNA-seq libraries were generated using the 10x Chromium Single Cell 3' Library Kit v3 (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's instructions. We diluted cell suspensions for a target of 10,000 cells for each library. snATAC-seq libraries were generated using the 10x Chromium Single Cell ATAC Library Kit v1 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Final quantification and quality control were determined by using Quibit and Fragment Analyzer. Nuclei suspensions were diluted for a target of 10,000 nuclei per library. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 at the Baylor College of Medicine or on a HiSeq Xten Illumina platform (Novaseq Illumina platform) by Novogene.

#### scRNA-sequencing analysis

The 10x Genomics Cell Ranger software (cellranger 3.0.1, www.10xgenomics.com) was used to handle raw sequencing data. Fastq files were aligned to the mouse mm10 genome. A pre-mRNA version of the reference genome was provided for gene count quantification with the Cellranger count function. CellBender v0.1.0 was used to remove background from the Cellranger output with the remove-background module in default settings. The h5 expression matrices from each data source were then imported into Seurat 3 for downstream processing and annotation to account for sourcespecific quality differences (Stuart et al, 2019). Single cells were filtered for UMI (unique molecular identifiers) counts with a minimum of 500 and a maximum of 25,000 per cell. We also imposed a cutoff of 0.5% for mitochondrial reads and a cutoff of 0.25% for doublet score. Seurat toolkit version v.4.0 in R v.3.8 was used to perform downstream analyses. The processed UMI count matrices of filtered cells were normalized with the Seurat function SCTransform to regress out additional variation.

The FindVariableFeatures function was used to calculate the top 5,000 most variable features for each sequenced library. The Seurat function FindIntegrationAnchors was used to find mutual nearest neighbors across subsets. Seurat's IntegrateData function was used to construct an integrated matrix for each cell with a correction vector (based on anchor and similarity scores). The integrated data were scaled and the principal components were calculated (n = 50). Dimensional reduction (UMAP) and clustering were used to identify clusters (dimensions = 20, cluster resolution = 1.5).

For the whole heart dataset, 13,504 cells were generated across 4 experiments, with an average sequencing depth of 1,736 reads per cell, 1,031 genes per cell (Table S2). We identified cardiomyocytes based on expression of myofibrillar markers *Tnnt2* and *Myl6* and cardiac fibroblasts based on expression of fibroblast and extracellular matrix markers *Col1a1*, *Tcf21*, and *Dcn*. SMCs were distinguished by the expression of markers *Acta2*, *Eln*, and *Rgs5*, whereas pericytes were identified by *Pdgfrb* expression. Immune cells were identified by expression of *Lyz2* and *Cx3cr1* in macrophages, and *Cd52*, *Trbc2*, and *Cd3d* in T-cells. ECs were enriched for markers such as *Fabp4* and *Pecam-1*, whereas endocardial cells expression of *Saa3* and other unique markers.

For the CD31+-enriched dataset, we excluded contaminating nonendothelial cells, which were characterized by the expression of fibroblast (*Col1a1*, *Tcf21*), cardiomyocyte (*Tnnt2*, *Myh6*), red blood cell (*Hbb-bt*, *Hba-a1*, *Hbb-bs*), macrophage (*Lyz2*, *Cx3cr1*), T cell (*Cd52*, *Trbc2*, *Cd3d*), B cell (*Ighm*, *Igkc*), pericyte (*Pdgfrb*) or SMC (*Acta2*) markers. To further remove doublets, FindAllMarkers was used to identify clusters of cells that express more than 1 major cell type marker. We identified endocardial cells based on the distinct expression of *Npr3*, *Dcn*, and *Postn*, and lymphatic ECs based on the expression of canonical markers *Ccl21a*, *Prox1*, *Pdpn*, and *Fgl2* (Fig S3A and Table S5). ECs were identified by the expression of panendothelial markers, such as *Fabp4* and *Pecam-1*, which encodes CD31. Arterial ECs were positive for *Gja4*, *Gja5*, *Sox17*, and *Hey1* (Fig

S3A, Table S5), whereas venous ECs expressed markers such as *Vwf*, *Nr2f2*, and *Couptfl1* (Fig S3A, Table S5). Capillary venous ECs positive for *Egr1*, *Fos*, and *JunB* were also detected, although capillary ECs showed the least overall specificity in terms of unique gene expression and were broadly enriched for markers such as *Gpihbp1*, *Rgcc*, and *Car4* (Fig S3A, Table S5).

DEGs for each cell cluster were calculated with the Findmarkers function, Seurat implementation of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (min.pct = 0.01, thresh.use = 0.25). Gene ontology analysis was performed with ShinyGO v0.75 (Ge et al, 2020). The CellChat v.1.12 R package was used to perform ligand-receptor analysis (Jin et al, 2021). Data were visualized by the *DoHeatmap* and *Dotplot* functions from Seurat.

#### snATAC-sequencing analysis

The 10x Genomics Cell Ranger software (cellranger-atac-1.2.0) was used to handle raw sequencing data. Reads were mapped to the mouse mm10 genome. The peak outputs from each sample were aligned with cellranger-atac count and aggregated with cellrangeratac aggr (-nosecondary -normalize = none). MACS2 callpeak (v2.1.1.20160309) was used for initial clustering and per cluster and per sample peak calling (-f BAMPE -g mm -B -q 0.1). We then reaggregated samples with cellranger-atac aggr using a union set of peaks and imported them in Seurat for subsequent analysis. Lowquality nuclei with <15% of fragments (reads) in peaks were removed. Nuclei with a minimum of 30 K (few reads) and a maximum of 1,500 K (doublets/nuclei clumps) peak region fragments were also removed.

To identify clusters in the snATAC-seq data, we transferred cluster labels from scRNA-seq data. To accomplish this, we first identified common correlation patterns in the gene activity matrix, which is the summation of fragments intersecting with the gene body and promoter region, from both datasets. Mapping of fragments of each cell to the 2-kb upstream region of gene coordinates is performed with the GeneActivity function in Seurat. The Find-TransferAnchors function was used to identify patterns between the two experimental conditions for integration. Dimensionality reduction was performed with Harmony to correct principal component analysis embeddings. Each snATAC-seq nuclei was assigned scores on the basis of cluster labels from the scRNA-seq data.

#### **Computing NMS**

The motif scanning tool FIMO was used with motifs from the CisBP (v2.0.0) database to identify peaks with motif matches (Grant et al, 2011; Weirauch et al, 2014). We used the standard *P*-value cutoff of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  for motif matching in FIMO (Maurano et al, 2012; Whyte et al, 2013; Kheradpour & Kellis, 2014). The Seurat::AverageExpression (slot = "counts") function was used to calculate the average accessibility count within each population. For a given TF, a gene's NMS (i.e., NMS) was computed as a weighted summation of the TF's motif counts in the peaks assigned to the gene. In particular, the NMS for gene and TF was computed as follows: for the -th peak assigned to the gene, denotes the peak's accessibility score, denotes the motif count of TF in the peak, and denotes the peak's length in kilobases.

#### TF-TF co-localization and network analysis

For the TFs having motif matches in the ATAC peaks, we obtained their families from the CisBP (v2.0.0) database. We then computed the Pearson's correlation coefficient between every pair of families' motif counts across the ATAC peaks and created a network where each node indicates a motif family, and the edges represent the Pearson's correlation coefficient values between families. To identify the groups of colocalizing TF families, we then applied the igraph package's spectral community detection algorithm on these networks (https://igraph.org/).

# Single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization with RNAscope probes

FFPE murine cardiac tissue samples were sectioned into 5- $\mu$ m thick slides. The slides were stained using the RNAscope 2.5 HD Assay-RED protocol (ACD) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nuclei were counterstained for 10 min at RT with DAP. Endothelial cells were stained with Isolectin B4 (Vector Laboratories). The following probes were used for analysis: Probe-Mm-Iigp1 (Cat No. 520771-C2) and Probe-Mm-Stat1 (Cat No. 479611-C2). Slides were imaged with a Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope. Visualization and image processing was performed with FIJI/ImageJ software.

#### Study approval

All murine experiments were performed under the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol number 5713. Human cardiac tissue collection was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Baylor College of Medicine and Affiliated Hospitals (Protocol Number H-26502) and collection occurred during cardiothoracic surgeries performed at Texas Children's Hospital.

# **Data Availability**

All sequencing data generated in this study will be deposited to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

# **Supplementary Information**

Supplementary Information is available at https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa. 202201870.

# Acknowledgements

This project was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through R01HL127717, R01HL118761, and R01HL169511 (JF Martin). Additional project funding was provided by the Leducq Foundation Transatlantic Network of Excellence Award 14CVD01 (JF Martin). JF Martin was supported by the Vivian L Smith Foundation. H Long was supported by NIH



F31HL156681. JD Steimle was supported by NIH K99HL169742, NIH T32HL139430, and NIH F32HL156465. Special thanks to Matthew C. Hill (currently at Broad Institute) for assisting with experimentation.

#### **Author Contributions**

H Long: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, validation, investigation, visualization, methodology, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.

JD Steimle: writing—original draft and writing—review and editing. FJ Grisanti Canozo: formal analysis, visualization, and methodology. JH Kim: formal analysis, visualization, and methodology.

X Li: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, and writing-original draft, review, and editing.

Y Morikawa: data curation and writing-original draft.

M Park: formal analysis and methodology.

D Turaga: data curation.

I Adachi: data curation.

JD Wythe: supervision and methodology.

MAH Samee: formal analysis, supervision, visualization, and methodology.

JF Martin: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, and writing—original draft, review, and editing.

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

JF Martin is a cofounder of and owns shares in Yap Therapeutics. The remaining authors declare no competing interests related to the topic of this article.

# References

- Allard B, Longhi MS, Robson SC, Stagg J (2017) The ectonucleotidases CD39 and CD73: Novel checkpoint inhibitor targets. *Immunol Rev* 276: 121–144. doi:10.1111/imr.12528
- Amersfoort J, Eelen G, Carmeliet P (2022) Immunomodulation by endothelial cells - partnering up with the immune system? *Nat Rev Immunol* 22: 576–588. doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00694-4
- Au-Yeung N, Mandhana R, Horvath CM (2013) Transcriptional regulation by STAT1 and STAT2 in the interferon JAK-STAT pathway. JAKSTAT 2: e23931. doi:10.4161/jkst.23931
- Aurora AB, Porrello ER, Tan W, Mahmoud AI, Hill JA, Bassel-Duby R, Sadek HA, Olson EN (2014) Macrophages are required for neonatal heart regeneration. J Clin Invest 124: 1382–1392. doi:10.1172/JCI72181
- Bajpai G, Schneider C, Wong N, Bredemeyer A, Hulsmans M, Nahrendorf M, Epelman S, Kreisel D, Liu Y, Itoh A, et al (2018) The human heart contains distinct macrophage subsets with divergent origins and functions. *Nat Med* 24: 1234–1245. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0059-x
- Bajpai G, Bredemeyer A, Li W, Zaitsev K, Koenig AL, Lokshina I, Mohan J, Ivey B, Hsiao HM, Weinheimer C, et al (2019) Tissue resident CCR2- and CCR2+ cardiac macrophages differentially orchestrate monocyte recruitment and fate specification following myocardial injury. *Circ Res* 124: 263–278. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.314028
- Betz BC, Jordan-Williams KL, Wang C, Kang SG, Liao J, Logan MR, Kim CH, Taparowsky EJ (2010) Batf coordinates multiple aspects of B and T cell function required for normal antibody responses. *J Exp Med* 207: 933–942. doi:10.1084/jem.20091548
- Biron CA (2001) Interferons alpha and beta as immune regulators-a new look. *Immunity* 14: 661–664. doi:10.1016/s1074-7613(01)00154-6

- Bracamonte-Baran W, Gilotra NA, Won T, Rodriguez KM, Talor MV, Oh BC, Griffin J, Wittstein I, Sharma K, Skinner J, et al (2021) Endothelial stromal PD-L1 (programmed death ligand 1) modulates CD8(+) T-cell infiltration after heart transplantation. *Circ Heart Fail* 14: e007982. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.120.007982
- Brulois K, Rajaraman A, Szade A, Nordling S, Bogoslowski A, Dermadi D, Rahman M, Kiefel H, O'Hara E, Koning JJ, et al (2020) A molecular map of murine lymph node blood vascular endothelium at single cell resolution. *Nat Commun* 11: 3798. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17291-5
- Calcagno DM, Ng RP, Jr, Toomu A, Zhang C, Huang K, Aguirre AD, Weissleder R, Daniels LB, Fu Z, King KR (2020) The myeloid type I interferon response to myocardial infarction begins in bone marrow and is regulated by Nrf2-activated macrophages. *Sci Immunol* 5: eaaz1974. doi:10.1126/ sciimmunol.aaz1974
- Cao W, Bover L, Cho M, Wen X, Hanabuchi S, Bao M, Rosen DB, Wang YH, Shaw JL, Du Q, et al (2009) Regulation of TLR7/9 responses in plasmacytoid dendritic cells by BST2 and ILT7 receptor interaction. *J Exp Med* 206: 1603–1614. doi:10.1084/jem.20090547
- Card CM, Yu SS, Swartz MA (2014) Emerging roles of lymphatic endothelium in regulating adaptive immunity. J Clin Invest 124: 943–952. doi:10.1172/ JCI73316
- Chen G, Huang AC, Zhang W, Zhang G, Wu M, Xu W, Yu Z, Yang J, Wang B, Sun H, et al (2018) Exosomal PD-L1 contributes to immunosuppression and is associated with anti-PD-1 response. *Nature* 560: 382–386. doi:10.1038/ s41586-018-0392-8
- Cheon H, Holvey-Bates EG, Schoggins JW, Forster S, Hertzog P, Imanaka N, Rice CM, Jackson MW, Junk DJ, Stark GR (2013) IFNβ-dependent increases in STAT1, STAT2, and IRF9 mediate resistance to viruses and DNA damage. *EMBO J* 32: 2751–2763. doi:10.1038/emboj.2013.203
- Chong JJ, Yang X, Don CW, Minami E, Liu YW, Weyers JJ, Mahoney WM, Van Biber B, Cook SM, Palpant NJ, et al (2014) Human embryonic-stem-cellderived cardiomyocytes regenerate non-human primate hearts. *Nature* 510: 273–277. doi:10.1038/nature13233
- Das S, Goldstone AB, Wang H, Farry J, D'Amato G, Paulsen MJ, Eskandari A, Hironaka CE, Phansalkar R, Sharma B, et al (2019) A unique collateral artery development program promotes neonatal heart regeneration. *Cell* 176: 1128–1142 e18. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.023
- Deshmukh V, Wang J, Martin JF (2019) Leading progress in heart regeneration and repair. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 61: 79–85. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2019.07.005
- Dick SA, Macklin JA, Nejat S, Momen A, Clemente-Casares X, Althagafi MG, Chen J, Kantores C, Hosseinzadeh S, Aronoff L, et al (2019) Selfrenewing resident cardiac macrophages limit adverse remodeling following myocardial infarction. *Nat Immunol* 20: 29–39. doi:10.1038/ s41590-018-0272-2
- Ding L, Saunders TL, Enikolopov G, Morrison SJ (2012) Endothelial and perivascular cells maintain haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature* 481: 457–462. doi:10.1038/nature10783
- Dor Y, Keshet E (1997) Ischemia-driven angiogenesis. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 7: 289–294. doi:10.1016/S1050-1738(97)00091-1
- Döring Y, Soehnlein O, Drechsler M, Shagdarsuren E, Chaudhari SM, Meiler S, Hartwig H, Hristov M, Koenen RR, Hieronymus T, et al (2012) Hematopoietic interferon regulatory factor 8-deficiency accelerates atherosclerosis in mice. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 32: 1613–1623. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.111.236539
- Eichin D, Pessia A, Takeda A, Laakkonen J, Bellmann L, Kankainen M, Imhof BA, Stoitzner P, Tang J, Salmi M, et al (2021) CD73 contributes to antiinflammatory properties of afferent lymphatic endothelial cells in humans and mice. *Eur J Immunol* 51: 231–246. doi:10.1002/ eji.201948432
- Esfahani K, Buhlaiga N, Thébault P, Lapointe R, Johnson NA, Miller WH, Jr (2019) Alemtuzumab for immune-related myocarditis due to PD-1 therapy. N Engl J Med 380: 2375–2376. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1903064

- Felsenfeld G, Boyes J, Chung J, Clark D, Studitsky V (1996) Chromatin structure and gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93: 9384–9388. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.18.9384
- Foglia MJ, Poss KD (2016) Building and re-building the heart by cardiomyocyte proliferation. *Development* 143: 729–740. doi:10.1242/dev.132910
- Frieler RA, Mortensen RM (2015) Immune cell and other noncardiomyocyte regulation of cardiac hypertrophy and remodeling. *Circulation* 131: 1019–1030. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.008788
- Ge SX, Jung D, Yao R (2020) ShinyGO: A graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants. *Bioinformatics* 36: 2628–2629. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btz931
- Goveia J, Rohlenova K, Taverna F, Treps L, Conradi LC, Pircher A, Geldhof V, de Rooij LPMH, Kalucka J, Sokol L, et al (2020) An integrated gene expression landscape profiling approach to identify lung tumor endothelial cell heterogeneity and angiogenic candidates. *Cancer Cell* 37: 421–436 e13. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.002
- Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS (2011) FIMO: Scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27: 1017–1018. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr064
- Hennecke J, Wiley DC (2001) T cell receptor-MHC interactions up close. *Cell* 104: 1–4. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00185-4
- Hill MC, Kadow ZA, Long H, Morikawa Y, Martin TJ, Birks EJ, Campbell KS, Nerbonne J, Lavine K, Wadhwa L, et al (2022) Integrated multi-omic characterization of congenital heart disease. *Nature* 608: 181–191. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04989-3
- Hogan NT, Whalen MB, Stolze LK, Hadeli NK, Lam MT, Springstead JR, Glass CK, Romanoski CE (2017) Transcriptional networks specifying homeostatic and inflammatory programs of gene expression in human aortic endothelial cells. *Elife* 6: e22536. doi:10.7554/eLife.22536
- Honda K, Taniguchi T (2006) IRFs: Master regulators of signalling by toll-like receptors and cytosolic pattern-recognition receptors. *Nat Rev Immunol* 6: 644–658. doi:10.1038/nri1900
- Hota SK, Rao KS, Blair AP, Khalilimeybodi A, Hu KM, Thomas R, So K, Kameswaran V, Xu J, Polacco BJ, et al (2022) Brahma safeguards canalization of cardiac mesoderm differentiation. *Nature* 602: 129–134. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04336-y
- Ingason AB, Goldstone AB, Paulsen MJ, Thakore AD, Truong VN, Edwards BB, Eskandari A, Bollig T, Steele AN, Woo YJ (2018) Angiogenesis precedes cardiomyocyte migration in regenerating mammalian hearts. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 155: 1118–1127 e1. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.08.127
- Ise W, Kohyama M, Schraml BU, Zhang T, Schwer B, Basu U, Alt FW, Tang J, Oltz EM, Murphy TL, et al (2011) The transcription factor BATF controls the global regulators of class-switch recombination in both B cells and T cells. *Nat Immunol* 12: 536–543. doi:10.1038/ni.2037
- Jabeen R, Goswami R, Awe O, Kulkarni A, Nguyen ET, Attenasio A, Walsh D, Olson MR, Kim MH, Tepper RS, et al (2013) Th9 cell development requires a BATF-regulated transcriptional network. J Clin Invest 123: 4641–4653. doi:10.1172/JCI69489
- Jiang DS, Liu Y, Zhou H, Zhang Y, Zhang XD, Zhang XF, Chen K, Gao L, Peng J, Gong H, et al (2014a) Interferon regulatory factor 7 functions as a novel negative regulator of pathological cardiac hypertrophy. *Hypertension* 63: 713–722. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02653
- Jiang DS, Luo YX, Zhang R, Zhang XD, Chen HZ, Zhang Y, Chen K, Zhang SM, Fan GC, Liu PP, et al (2014b) Interferon regulatory factor 9 protects against cardiac hypertrophy by targeting myocardin. *Hypertension* 63: 119–127. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02083
- Jiang DS, Wei X, Zhang XF, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Chen K, Gao L, Zhou H, Zhu XH, Liu PP, et al (2014c) IRF8 suppresses pathological cardiac remodelling by inhibiting calcineurin signalling. *Nat Commun* 5: 3303. doi:10.1038/ ncomms4303
- Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan CH, Myung P, Plikus MV, Nie Q (2021) Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. Nat Commun 12: 1088. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9

- Johnson DB, Balko JM, Compton ML, Chalkias S, Gorham J, Xu Y, Hicks M, Puzanov I, Alexander MR, Bloomer TL, et al (2016) Fulminant myocarditis with combination immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med* 375: 1749–1755. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1609214
- Kalucka J, de Rooij L, Goveia J, Rohlenova K, Dumas SJ, Meta E, Conchinha NV, Taverna F, Teuwen LA, Veys K, et al (2020) Single-cell transcriptome atlas of murine endothelial cells. *Cell* 180: 764–779 e20. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2020.01.015
- Kamada R, Yang W, Zhang Y, Patel MC, Yang Y, Ouda R, Dey A, Wakabayashi Y, Sakaguchi K, Fujita T, et al (2018) Interferon stimulation creates chromatin marks and establishes transcriptional memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: E9162–E9171. doi:10.1073/pnas.1720930115
- Kheradpour P, Kellis M (2014) Systematic discovery and characterization of regulatory motifs in ENCODE TF binding experiments. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42: 2976–2987. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1249
- Klemm SL, Shipony Z, Greenleaf WJ (2019) Chromatin accessibility and the regulatory epigenome. *Nat Rev Genet* 20: 207–220. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0089-8
- Koenig AL, Shchukina I, Amrute J, Andhey PS, Zaitsev K, Lai L, Bajpai G, Bredemeyer A, Smith G, Jones C, et al (2022) Single-cell transcriptomics reveals cell-type-specific diversification in human heart failure. *Nat Cardiovasc Res* 1: 263–280. doi:10.1038/s44161-022-00028-6
- Köhler D, Eckle T, Faigle M, Grenz A, Mittelbronn M, Laucher S, Hart ML, Robson SC, Müller CE, Eltzschig HK (2007) CD39/ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase 1 provides myocardial protection during cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Circulation* 116: 1784–1794. doi:10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.107.690180
- Kong P, Christia P, Frangogiannis NG (2014) The pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 71: 549–574. doi:10.1007/s00018-013-1349-6
- Lai SL, Marín-Juez R, Stainier DYR (2019) Immune responses in cardiac repair and regeneration: A comparative point of view. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 76: 1365–1380. doi:10.1007/s00018-018-2995-5
- Lavine KJ, Epelman S, Uchida K, Weber KJ, Nichols CG, Schilling JD, Ornitz DM, Randolph GJ, Mann DL (2014) Distinct macrophage lineages contribute to disparate patterns of cardiac recovery and remodeling in the neonatal and adult heart. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: 16029–16034. doi:10.1073/pnas.1406508111
- Lee M, Kiefel H, LaJevic MD, Macauley MS, Kawashima H, O'Hara E, Pan J, Paulson JC, Butcher EC (2014) Transcriptional programs of lymphoid tissue capillary and high endothelium reveal control mechanisms for lymphocyte homing. *Nat Immunol* 15: 982–995. doi:10.1038/ni.2983
- Lehto S, Niskanen L, Suhonen M, Rönnemaa T, Laakso M (1996) Medial artery calcification. A neglected harbinger of cardiovascular complications in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Arterioscler Thromb* Vasc Biol 16: 978–983. doi:10.1161/01.atv.16.8.978
- Leonard D, Svenungsson E, Sandling JK, Berggren O, Jönsen A, Bengtsson C, Wang C, Jensen-Urstad K, Granstam SO, Bengtsson AA, et al (2013) Coronary heart disease in systemic lupus erythematosus is associated with interferon regulatory factor-8 gene variants. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 6: 255–263. doi:10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.113.000044
- Li P, Spolski R, Liao W, Wang L, Murphy TL, Murphy KM, Leonard WJ (2012) BATF-JUN is critical for IRF4-mediated transcription in T cells. *Nature* 490: 543–546. doi:10.1038/nature11530
- Liao X, Shen Y, Zhang R, Sugi K, Vasudevan NT, Alaiti MA, Sweet DR, Zhou L, Qing Y, Gerson SL, et al (2018) Distinct roles of resident and nonresident macrophages in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: E4661–E4669. doi:10.1073/pnas.1720065115
- Lohoff M, Mak TW (2005) Roles of interferon-regulatory factors in T-helpercell differentiation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 5: 125–135. doi:10.1038/nri1552
- Lu P, Wang Y, Liu Y, Wang Y, Wu B, Zheng D, Harvey RP, Zhou B (2021) Perinatal angiogenesis from pre-existing coronary vessels via DLL4-NOTCH1 signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* 23: 967–977. doi:10.1038/s41556-021-00747-1

- Lucas ED, Finlon JM, Burchill MA, McCarthy MK, Morrison TE, Colpitts TM, Tamburini BAJ (2018) Type 1 IFN and PD-L1 coordinate lymphatic endothelial cell expansion and contraction during an inflammatory immune response. J Immunol 201: 1735–1747. doi:10.4049/ jimmunol.1800271
- Luther SA, Tang HL, Hyman PL, Farr AG, Cyster JG (2000) Coexpression of the chemokines ELC and SLC by T zone stromal cells and deletion of the ELC gene in the plt/plt mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97: 12694–12699. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.23.12694
- Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, Nemesh J, Shekhar K, Goldman M, Tirosh I, Bialas AR, Kamitaki N, Martersteck EM, et al (2015) Highly parallel genomewide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. *Cell* 161: 1202–1214. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.002
- Mahmood SS, Fradley MG, Cohen JV, Nohria A, Reynolds KL, Heinzerling LM, Sullivan RJ, Damrongwatanasuk R, Chen CL, Gupta D, et al (2018) Myocarditis in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. J Am Coll Cardiol 71: 1755–1764. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.02.037
- Marín-Juez R, Marass M, Gauvrit S, Rossi A, Lai SL, Materna SC, Black BL, Stainier DYR (2016) Fast revascularization of the injured area is essential to support zebrafish heart regeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A 113: 11237–11242. doi:10.1073/pnas.1605431113
- Matatall KA, Jeong M, Chen S, Sun D, Chen F, Mo Q, Kimmel M, King KY (2016) Chronic infection depletes hematopoietic stem cells through stressinduced terminal differentiation. *Cell Rep* 17: 2584–2595. doi:10.1016/ j.celrep.2016.11.031
- Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, Thurman RE, Haugen E, Wang H, Reynolds AP, Sandstrom R, Qu H, Brody J, et al (2012) Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in regulatory DNA. *Science* 337: 1190–1195. doi:10.1126/science.1222794
- McComb S, Cessford E, Alturki NA, Joseph J, Shutinoski B, Startek JB, Gamero AM, Mossman KL, Sad S (2014) Type-I interferon signaling through ISGF3 complex is required for sustained Rip3 activation and necroptosis in macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: E3206–E3213. doi:10.1073/pnas.1407068111
- McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A (2015) Type I interferons in infectious disease. Nat Rev Immunol 15: 87–103. doi:10.1038/nri3787
- Meder B, Rühle F, Weis T, Homuth G, Keller A, Franke J, Peil B, Lorenzo Bermejo J, Frese K, Huge A, et al (2014) A genome-wide association study identifies 6p21 as novel risk locus for dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J* 35: 1069–1077. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/eht251
- Mo A, Mukamel EA, Davis FP, Luo C, Henry GL, Picard S, Urich MA, Nery JR, Sejnowski TJ, Lister R, et al (2015) Epigenomic signatures of neuronal diversity in the mammalian brain. *Neuron* 86: 1369–1384. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2015.05.018
- Moslehi JJ, Salem JE, Sosman JA, Lebrun-Vignes B, Johnson DB (2018) Increased reporting of fatal immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated myocarditis. *Lancet* 391: 933. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30533-6
- Nagira M, Imai T, Hieshima K, Kusuda J, Ridanpää M, Takagi S, Nishimura M, Kakizaki M, Nomiyama H, Yoshie O (1997) Molecular cloning of a novel human CC chemokine secondary lymphoid-tissue chemokine that is a potent chemoattractant for lymphocytes and mapped to chromosome 9p13. J Biol Chem 272: 19518–19524. doi:10.1074/ jbc.272.31.19518
- Nahrendorf M (2018) Myeloid cell contributions to cardiovascular health and disease. *Nat Med* 24: 711–720. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0064-0
- Platanitis E, Gruener S, Ravi Sundar Jose Geetha A, Boccuni L, Vogt A, Novatchkova M, Sommer A, Barozzi I, Müller M, Decker T (2022) Interferons reshape the 3D conformation and accessibility of macrophage chromatin. *iScience* 25: 103840. doi:10.1016/ j.isci.2022.103840
- Porrello ER, Mahmoud AI, Simpson E, Hill JA, Richardson JA, Olson EN, Sadek HA (2011) Transient regenerative potential of the neonatal mouse heart. *Science* 331: 1078–1080. doi:10.1126/science.1200708

- Porrello ER, Mahmoud AI, Simpson E, Johnson BA, Grinsfelder D, Canseco D, Mammen PP, Rothermel BA, Olson EN, Sadek HA (2013) Regulation of neonatal and adult mammalian heart regeneration by the miR-15 family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110: 187–192. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1208863110
- Qiu J, Salama ME, Hu CS, Li Y, Wang X, Hoffman R (2018) The characteristics of vessel lining cells in normal spleens and their role in the pathobiology of myelofibrosis. *Blood Adv* 2: 1130–1145. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2017015073
- Rainer PP, Hao S, Vanhoutte D, Lee DI, Koitabashi N, Molkentin JD, Kass DA (2014) Cardiomyocyte-specific transforming growth factor beta suppression blocks neutrophil infiltration, augments multiple cytoprotective cascades, and reduces early mortality after myocardial infarction. *Circ Res* 114: 1246–1257. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.302653
- Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, Addolorato G, Ammirati E, Baddour LM, Barengo NC, Beaton AZ, Benjamin EJ, Benziger CP, et al (2020) Global burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk factors, 1990-2019: Update from the GBD 2019 study. J Am Coll Cardiol 76: 2982–3021. doi:10.1016/ j.jacc.2020.11.010
- Roy S, Guler R, Parihar SP, Schmeier S, Kaczkowski B, Nishimura H, Shin JW, Negishi Y, Ozturk M, Hurdayal R, et al (2015) Batf2/Irf1 induces inflammatory responses in classically activated macrophages, lipopolysaccharides, and mycobacterial infection. J Immunol 194: 6035–6044. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1402521
- Rurik JG, Aghajanian H, Epstein JA (2021) Immune cells and immunotherapy for cardiac injury and repair. *Circ Res* 128: 1766–1779. doi:10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.121.318005
- Saeki H, Moore AM, Brown MJ, Hwang ST (1999) Cutting edge: Secondary lymphoid-tissue chemokine (SLC) and CC chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) participate in the emigration pathway of mature dendritic cells from the skin to regional lymph nodes. J Immunol 162: 2472–2475. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.162.5.2472
- Saldanha-Araujo F, Ferreira FI, Palma PV, Araujo AG, Queiroz RHC, Covas DT, Zago MA, Panepucci RA (2011) Mesenchymal stromal cells upregulate CD39 and increase adenosine production to suppress activated T-lymphocytes. *Stem Cell Res* 7: 66–74. doi:10.1016/ j.scr.2011.04.001
- Salem JE, Manouchehri A, Moey M, Lebrun-Vignes B, Bastarache L, Pariente A, Gobert A, Spano JP, Balko JM, Bonaca MP, et al (2018) Cardiovascular toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: An observational, retrospective, pharmacovigilance study. *Lancet Oncol* 19: 1579–1589. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30608-9
- Schaper W (1996) Collateral vessel growth in the human heart. Role of fibroblast growth factor-2. *Circulation* 94: 600–601. doi:10.1161/ 01.cir.94.4.600
- Schiller HB, Montoro DT, Simon LM, Rawlins EL, Meyer KB, Strunz M, Vieira Braga FA, Timens W, Koppelman GH, Budinger GRS, et al (2019) The human lung cell atlas: A high-resolution reference map of the human lung in health and disease. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 61: 31–41. doi:10.1165/rcmb.2018-0416TR
- Schneider WM, Chevillotte MD, Rice CM (2014) Interferon-stimulated genes: A complex web of host defenses. *Annu Rev Immunol* 32: 513–545. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120231
- Schraml BU, Hildner K, Ise W, Lee WL, Smith WAE, Solomon B, Sahota G, Sim J, Mukasa R, Cemerski S, et al (2009) The AP-1 transcription factor Batf controls T(H)17 differentiation. *Nature* 460: 405–409. doi:10.1038/ nature08114
- Schupp JC, Adams TS, Cosme C, Jr, Raredon MSB, Yuan Y, Omote N, Poli S, Chioccioli M, Rose KA, Manning EP, et al (2021) Integrated single-cell atlas of endothelial cells of the human lung. *Circulation* 144: 286–302. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.052318
- Senyo SE, Steinhauser ML, Pizzimenti CL, Yang VK, Cai L, Wang M, Wu TD, Guerquin-Kern JL, Lechene CP, Lee RT (2013) Mammalian heart

renewal by pre-existing cardiomyocytes. *Nature* 493: 433–436. doi:10.1038/nature11682

- Seternes T, Sørensen K, Smedsrød B (2002) Scavenger endothelial cells of vertebrates: A nonperipheral leukocyte system for high-capacity elimination of waste macromolecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 7594–7597. doi:10.1073/pnas.102173299
- Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Schisterman E, Berman DS, Callister TQ (2003) Prognostic value of cardiac risk factors and coronary artery calcium screening for all-cause mortality. *Radiology* 228: 826–833. doi:10.1148/ radiol.2283021006
- Shields JD, Kourtis IC, Tomei AA, Roberts JM, Swartz MA (2010) Induction of lymphoidlike stroma and immune escape by tumors that express the chemokine CCL21. Science 328: 749–752. doi:10.1126/science.1185837
- Song K, Nam YJ, Luo X, Qi X, Tan W, Huang GN, Acharya A, Smith CL, Tallquist MD, Neilson EG, et al (2012) Heart repair by reprogramming nonmyocytes with cardiac transcription factors. *Nature* 485: 599–604. doi:10.1038/nature11139
- St Hilaire C, Ziegler SG, Markello TC, Brusco A, Groden C, Gill F, Carlson-Donohoe H, Lederman RJ, Chen MY, Yang D, et al (2011) NT5E mutations and arterial calcifications. N Engl J Med 364: 432–442. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa0912923
- Steffens S, Nahrendorf M, Madonna R (2022) Immune cells in cardiac homeostasis and disease: Emerging insights from novel technologies. *Eur Heart J* 43: 1533–1541. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehab842
- Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM 3rd, Hao Y, Stoeckius M, Smibert P, Satija R (2019) Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. *Cell* 177: 1888–1902 e21. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031
- Takeda A, Hollmén M, Dermadi D, Pan J, Brulois KF, Kaukonen R, Lönnberg T, Boström P, Koskivuo I, Irjala H, et al (2019) Single-cell survey of human lymphatics unveils marked endothelial cell heterogeneity and mechanisms of homing for neutrophils. *Immunity* 51: 561–572 e5. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.027
- Tamura T, Yanai H, Savitsky D, Taniguchi T (2008) The IRF family transcription factors in immunity and oncogenesis. *Annu Rev Immunol* 26: 535–584. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090400
- Thiriot A, Perdomo C, Cheng G, Novitzky-Basso I, McArdle S, Kishimoto JK, Barreiro O, Mazo I, Triboulet R, Ley K, et al (2017) Differential DARC/ ACKR1 expression distinguishes venular from non-venular endothelial cells in murine tissues. *BMC Biol* 15: 45. doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0381-7
- Thurman RE, Rynes E, Humbert R, Vierstra J, Maurano MT, Haugen E, Sheffield NC, Stergachis AB, Wang H, Vernot B, et al (2012) The accessible chromatin landscape of the human genome. *Nature* 489: 75–82. doi:10.1038/nature11232
- Travaglini KJ, Nabhan AN, Penland L, Sinha R, Gillich A, Sit RV, Chang S, Conley SD, Mori Y, Seita J, et al (2020) A molecular cell atlas of the human lung from single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nature* 587: 619–625. doi:10.1038/ s41586-020-2922-4
- Travers JG, Kamal FA, Robbins J, Yutzey KE, Blaxall BC (2016) Cardiac fibrosis: The fibroblast awakens. *Circ Res* 118: 1021–1040. doi:10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.115.306565
- Tsompana M, Buck MJ (2014) Chromatin accessibility: A window into the genome. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 7: 33. doi:10.1186/1756-8935-7-33

- van Berlo JH, Molkentin JD (2014) An emerging consensus on cardiac regeneration. *Nat Med* 20: 1386–1393. doi:10.1038/nm.3764
- Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, Chamberlain AM, Cheng S, Delling FN, et al (2021) Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation* 143: e254–e743. doi:10.1161/ CIR.000000000000950
- Wang L, Yu P, Zhou B, Song J, Li Z, Zhang M, Guo G, Wang Y, Chen X, Han L, et al (2020) Single-cell reconstruction of the adult human heart during heart failure and recovery reveals the cellular landscape underlying cardiac function. *Nat Cell Biol* 22: 108–119. doi:10.1038/s41556-019-0446-7
- Ware JA, Simons M (1997) Angiogenesis in ischemic heart disease. Nat Med 3: 158–164. doi:10.1038/nm0297-158
- Weirauch MT, Yang A, Albu M, Cote AG, Montenegro-Montero A, Drewe P, Najafabadi HS, Lambert SA, Mann I, Cook K, et al (2014) Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. *Cell* 158: 1431–1443. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.08.009
- Wheeler DG, Joseph ME, Mahamud SD, Aurand WL, Mohler PJ, Pompili VJ, Dwyer KM, Nottle MB, Harrison SJ, d'Apice AJF, et al (2012) Transgenic swine: Expression of human CD39 protects against myocardial injury. J Mol Cell Cardiol 52: 958–961. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2012.01.002
- Whyte WA, Orlando DA, Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lin CY, Kagey MH, Rahl PB, Lee TI, Young RA (2013) Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. *Cell* 153: 307–319. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035
- Willimann K, Legler DF, Loetscher M, Roos RS, Delgado MB, Clark-Lewis I, Baggiolini M, Moser B (1998) The chemokine SLC is expressed in T cell areas of lymph nodes and mucosal lymphoid tissues and attracts activated T cells via CCR7. Eur J Immunol 28: 2025–2034. doi:10.1002/ (SICI)1521-4141(199806)28:06<2025::AID-IMMU2025>3.0.CO;2-C
- Wohlleber D, Knolle PA (2016) The role of liver sinusoidal cells in local hepatic immune surveillance. *Clin Transl Immunol* 5: e117. doi:10.1038/ cti.2016.74
- Wu C, Thalhamer T, Franca RF, Xiao S, Wang C, Hotta C, Zhu C, Hirashima M, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK (2014) Galectin-9-CD44 interaction enhances stability and function of adaptive regulatory T cells. *Immunity* 41: 270–282. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.011
- Xiang M, Grosso RA, Takeda A, Pan J, Bekkhus T, Brulois K, Dermadi D, Nordling S, Vanlandewijck M, Jalkanen S, et al (2020) A single-cell transcriptional roadmap of the mouse and human lymph node lymphatic vasculature. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 7: 52. doi:10.3389/ fcvm.2020.00052
- Zhang SM, Gao L, Zhang XF, Zhang R, Zhu LH, Wang PX, Tian S, Yang D, Chen K, Huang L, et al (2014) Interferon regulatory factor 8 modulates phenotypic switching of smooth muscle cells by regulating the activity of myocardin. *Mol Cell Biol* 34: 400–414. doi:10.1128/MCB.01070-13



License: This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).